arXiv:1411.5342v1 [math.NT] 14 Nov 2014

Sums of triangular numbers

By Kazuhide Matsuda

Faculty of Fundamental Science, National Institute of Technology, Niihama College,
7-1 Yagumo-chou, Niihama, Ehime, 792-8580, Japan.
E-mail: matsuda@sci.niihama-nct.ac.jp
Fax: 0897-37-7809

Abstract The triangular numbers are $t_k = k(k+1)/2$, $(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$. In this paper, we prove that for $d = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$, every natural number can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z + dt_w$, where x, y, z, w are all nonnegative integers. Furthermore, we show the applications of this fact.
Key Words square; triangular number; theta functions; sums of squares; sums of triangular numbers
MSC(2010) 11E25

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$. $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the set of rational integers. The squares are x^2, $(x \in \mathbb{Z})$ and the triangular numbers are $t_x = x(x+1)/2$, $(x \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. Furthermore, for the positive integers j, k, n, $d_{j,k}(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisors d of n such that $d \equiv j \pmod{k}$.

It is a well known result of Gauss that every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as a sum of three triangular numbers, that is, $n = \Delta + \Delta + \Delta$, where Δ is a triangular number. In 1862 and 1863, Liouville [6, 7] proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1. (Liouville) *Let a, b and c be positive integers with $a \le b \le c$. Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can then be written as $at_x + bt_y + ct_z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if (a, b, c) is among the following vectors:*

$$(1,1,1), (1,1,2), (1,1,4), (1,1,5), (1,2,2), (1,2,3), (1,2,4).$$

In this paper, we prove that $n = \Delta + \Delta + 3\Delta + d\Delta$ with $3 \le d \le 8$. For the proof, we use the results of Cooper et al. [2, 4], which were obtained by Ramanujan's theory of theta functions. Especially, for $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|q| < 1$, we introduce

$$\varphi(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}, \ \psi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2}, \ a(q) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2+mn+n^2}.$$

Noted is that Williams [10] determined the number of representations of $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as $\Delta + \Delta + 2(\Delta + \Delta)$ by an entirely arithmetic method.

Our main theorems are as follows:

Theorem 1.2.

(1) *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $3 \le d \le 8$, every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be represented as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z + dt_w$ with $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

(2) *Let a, b, c and d be positive integers with $a \le b \le c \le d$. Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can then be written as $at_x + bt_y + ct_z + dt_w$ with $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if (a, b, c, d) is among the following vectors:*

$$(1,1,1,d),(1,1,2,d),(1,1,4,d),(1,1,5,d),(1,2,2,d),(1,2,3,d),(1,2,4,d),$$
$$(1,1,3,3),(1,1,3,4),(1,1,3,5),(1,1,3,6),(1,1,3,7),(1,1,3,8).$$

Theorem 1.3. *For fixed positive integers, a, c, set*

$$g_c^a(x,y,z) = at_x + c(y^2 + yz + z^2), \text{ with } x \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ y, z \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(1) *g_c^a represents all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $(a, c) = (1, 1)$.*

(2) *If g_c^a represents $n = 1, 2, 4, 8$, it then represents all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Theorem 1.4. *For fixed positive integers, a, b, c with $a \le b$, set*

$$g_c^{a,b}(x,y,z,w) = at_x + bt_y + c(z^2 + zw + w^2), \text{ with } x, y \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ z, w \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(1) *$g_c^{a,b}$ represents all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if*

$$(a,b,c) = \begin{cases} (1,b,1), & (b \in \mathbb{N}), \\ (2,b,1), & (b = 2,3,4,5,6,7,8), \\ (1,b,2), & (b = 1,2,3,4), \\ (1,2,3), & \\ (1,b,4), & (b = 1,2), \\ (1,1,5). & \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $g_c^{a,b}$ represents $n = 1, 2, 4, 5, 8$, it then represents all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notations we use. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 for $d = 3, 6, 7, 8$. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, applying Theorem 1.2, we obtain the sufficiency of Theorem 1.4 (1). In Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.4.

Remark 1

For $d = 4, 5$, Theorem 1.2 (1) follows from Theorem 1.1 of Liouville.

Remark 2

For fixed positive integers, a, b, c, set

$$f^{a,b,c}(x, y, z) = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2, \ f_c^a(x, y, z) = ax^2 + c(y^2 + yz + z^2),$$

with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. In [5, pp.104], Dickson proved that there exist no positive integers, a, b, c, such that $f^{a,b,c}$ can represent all the nonnegative integers. In [8], we showed that there exist no positive integers, a, c, such that f_c^a can represent all the nonnegative integers.

Remark 3

For fixed positive integers, a, b, c, set

$$f_c^{a,b}(x, y, z) = ax^2 + by^2 + c(z^2 + zw + w^2),$$

with $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. In [8], we determined (a, b, c) with $a \leq b$ such that $f_c^{a,b}$ represents all the nonnegative integers.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Professor K. S. Williams for his useful comments.

2 Notation and preliminary results

2.1 Notations

For fixed positive integers a, b, c, d, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{a,b,c}(n) =& \sharp\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \,|\, n = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2\},\\
r_{a,b,c,d}(n) =& \sharp\{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \,|\, n = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dw^2\},\\
t_{a,b,c}(n) =& \sharp\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \,|\, n = at_x + bt_y + ct_z\},\\
t_{a,b,c,d}(n) =& \sharp\{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^4 \,|\, n = at_x + bt_y + ct_z + dt_w\},\\
m_{a\text{-}b,c}(n) =& \sharp\left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0^2 \,|\, n = ax^2 + bt_y + ct_z\right\},\\
m_{a,b\text{-}c}(n) =& \sharp\left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \,|\, n = ax^2 + by^2 + ct_z\right\},\\
A_c^a(n) =& \sharp\left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \,|\, n = ax^2 + c(y^2 + yz + z^2)\right\},\\
A_c^{a,b}(n) =& \sharp\left\{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \,|\, n = ax^2 + by^2 + c(z^2 + zw + w^2)\right\},\\
B_c^a(n) =& \sharp\left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \,|\, n = at_x + c(y^2 + yz + z^2)\right\},\\
B_c^{a,b}(n) =& \sharp\left\{(x,y,z,w) \in \times\mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \,|\, n = at_x + bt_y + c(z^2 + zw + w^2)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

2.2 Ramanujan's theory of theta functions

From Cooper et al. [1], we recall that

$$\varphi(q) = \varphi(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^8), \tag{2.1}$$
$$\varphi(q)^2 = \varphi(q^2)^2 + 4q\psi(q^4)^2, \tag{2.2}$$
$$\varphi(q)\psi(q^2) = \psi(q)^2, \tag{2.3}$$
$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^3) = a(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6), \tag{2.4}$$
$$a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3) + 4q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6), \tag{2.5}$$
$$a(q) = a(q^4) + 6q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6). \tag{2.6}$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

3.1 Preliminary results

By Ramanujan's theory of theta functions, Cooper et al. [4] proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,*

$$r_{1,1,3}(8n+5) = 16t_{1,1,3}(n).$$

Proof. For the detailed proof, see Cooper et al. [2, 4]. □

Dickson [5, pp.112-113] proved the following result:

Theorem 3.2.

(1) $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *can be written as* $x^2+y^2+3z^2$ *with* $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ *if and only if* $n \neq 9^k(9l+6)$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

(2) *Every* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *can be written as* $x^2+y^2+3z^2+3w^2$ *with* $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3. *A nonnegative integer* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *can be written as* $t_x+t_y+3t_z$ *with* $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *if and only if* n *satisfies one of the following conditions:*

(1) $n \not\equiv 5, 8 \bmod 9$,

(2) $n \equiv 5 \bmod 9$, *and* $8n+5 = 9^k(8N+5)$, $N \not\equiv 5, 8 \bmod 9$, $k \in \mathbb{N}, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we find that $n = t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $8n+5 \neq 9^k(9l+6)$ $(k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. Noted is that $8n+5 \equiv 0 \bmod 9$ if and only if $n \equiv 5 \bmod 9$.

Let us first treat the case in which $n \not\equiv 5 \bmod 9$. From Theorem 3.2, we obtain condition (1) because $8n+5 \equiv 6 \bmod 9$ if and only if $n \equiv 8 \bmod 9$.

We next deal with the case where $n \equiv 5 \bmod 9$ and $n = 9N+5$, $(N \in \mathbb{N}_0)$, which implies that $8n+5 = 9(8N+5)$. Therefore, we set $8n+5 = 9^k(8N'+5)$, $(k \in \mathbb{N}, N' \in \mathbb{N}_0)$, where $N' \not\equiv 5 \bmod 9$. From Theorem 3.2 and the discussion in the first paragraph, we obtain condition (2). □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for $d = 3$

3.2.1 Preliminary results

For the proof, we obtain a useful number theoretic property of $t_{1,1,3,3}(n)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $n, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. *Then,*

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(2^kN + (2^k-1)) = 2^k t_{1,1,3,3}(N),$$

which implies that $t_{1,1,3,3}(n) \equiv 0 \bmod 2^k$ *if* $n \equiv -1 \bmod 2^k$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.6) by $\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)$, we have

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q^4) + 6q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^{2,6}(n)q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_2^{1,3}(N)q^{2N} + 6\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(N)q^{2N+1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Multiplying both sides of equation (2.5) by $\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)$, we have

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6) + 4q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2.$$

By equation (2.3), we obtain

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \psi(q)^2\psi(q^3)^2 + 4q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^{2,6}(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(n)q^n + 4\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(N)q^{2N+1}. \tag{3.2}$$

By equations (3.1) and (3.2), we note that

$$B_1^{2,6}(2N+1) = 6t_{1,1,3,3}(N) = t_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1) + 4t_{1,1,3,3}(N),$$

which implies that

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1) = 2t_{1,1,3,3}(N). \tag{3.3}$$

By induction, we prove the theorem. For $k = 1$, the theorem holds. Suppose that for k, the theorem holds. We then have

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(2^{k+1}N+(2^{k+1}-1)) = t_{1,1,3,3}\left(2^k\cdot(2N+1)+(2^k-1)\right) = 2^k t_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1) = 2^{k+1}t_{1,1,3,3}(N).$$

□

From Cooper et al. [1], we recall the following result:

Theorem 3.5. (Cooper et al. [1]) *For every* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{4}r_{1,1,3,3}(n+1) & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\ \dfrac{1}{8}\{r_{1,1,3,3}(2n+2) - r_{1,1,3,3}(n+1)\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

3.2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for $d = 3$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, we first note that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r_{1,1,3,3}(N) > 0$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is even and $n = 2N$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by Theorem 3.5, we obtain

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = t_{1,1,3,3}(2N) = \frac{1}{4} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1) > 0.$$

Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is odd and $n + 1 = 2^k(2N + 1)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which implies that

$$n = 2^k \cdot (2N) + (2^k - 1).$$

By Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, we have

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = t_{1,1,3,3}\left(2^k \cdot (2N) + (2^k - 1)\right) = 2^k t_{1,1,3,3}(2N) = \frac{2^k}{4} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1) > 0.$$

□

By the proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for $d = 3$, we can improve Theorem 3.5 of Cooper et al. [1] when n is an odd number.

Corollary 3.6.

(1) *Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is even and $n = 2N$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,*

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = \frac{1}{4} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1).$$

(2) *Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is odd and $n + 1 = 2^k(2N + 1)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,*

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = \frac{2^k}{4} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1).$$

Corollary 3.7. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have*

$$r_{1,1,3,3}(2^k(2N+1)) = r_{1,1,3,3}(2(2N+1)) + 4(2^{k-1} - 1) r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1).$$

Proof. For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we set

$$n + 1 = 2^k(2N + 1),$$

which implies that $n = 2^k \cdot (2N) + 2^k - 1$.

By Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{1,1,3,3}(n) =& \frac{1}{8}\{r_{1,1,3,3}(2n+2) - r_{1,1,3,3}(n+1)\} = \frac{1}{8}\{r_{1,1,3,3}(2^{k+1}(2N+1)) - r_{1,1,3,3}(2^k(2N+1))\} \\ =& \frac{2^k}{4} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$r_{1,1,3,3}(2^{k+1}(2N+1)) = r_{1,1,3,3}(2^k(2N+1)) + 2^{k+1} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1).$$

Solving this recurrence relation with respect to k, we can prove the corollary. □

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for $d = 6$

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we have only to prove that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z + 6t_w$ with $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if $n \equiv 5, 8 \bmod 9$.

When $n \equiv 8 \bmod 9$, taking $w = 1$, we have

$$n - 6t_1 \equiv 8 - 6 \cdot 1 \equiv 2 \bmod 9,$$

which implies from Theorem 3.3 that $n - 6t_1$ can be expressed by $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

When $n \equiv 5 \bmod 9$, by Theorem 3.3, we may assume that

$$8n + 5 = 9^k(8N + 5),\ k, N \in \mathbb{N},\ N \equiv 8 \bmod 9.$$

Taking $w = 2$, we see that $n - 6t_2 = n - 6 \cdot 3 \equiv 5 \bmod 9$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 8(n - 6t_2) + 5 =& 8n + 5 - 8 \cdot 2 \cdot 9 \\ =& 9^k(8N + 5) - 8 \cdot 2 \cdot 9 \\ =& 9\left\{9^{k-1}(8N + 5) - 8 \cdot 2\right\} \\ =& 9\left\{8\left(9^{k-1}N + \frac{9^{k-1} \cdot 5 - 5}{8} - 2\right) + 5\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

When $k = 1$, we have

$$9^{k-1}N + \frac{9^{k-1} \cdot 5 - 5}{8} - 2 = N - 2 \equiv 8 - 2 \equiv 6 \bmod 9,$$

which implies that $n - 6t_2$ can be expressed by $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

When $k \geq 2$, we obtain

$$9^{k-1}N + \frac{9^{k-1} \cdot 5 - 5}{8} - 2 \equiv -8 \cdot 5 - 2 \equiv 3 \bmod 9,$$

which implies that $n - 6t_2$ can be expressed by $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for $d = 7, 8$

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we have only to prove that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z + 6t_w$ with $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if $n \equiv 5, 8 \bmod 9$.

Taking $w = 1$, we have

$$n - d \cdot t_1 \not\equiv 5, 8 \bmod 9,$$

which implies that $n - d \cdot t_1$ can be expressed by $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

3.5 Proof of Theorem 1.2 (2)

Proof. Suppose that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $at_x + bt_y + ct_z + dt_w$ with $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Taking $n = 1, 2$, we have

$$(a, b) = (1, 1), (1, 2).$$

We first treat the case where $(a, b) = (1, 2)$. The choice $n = 4$ implies that

$$(a, b, c, d) = (1, 2, 2, d), (1, 2, 3, d), (1, 2, 4, d).$$

We next deal with the case where $(a, b) = (1, 1)$. The choice $n = 5$ shows that $c = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, which implies that

$$(a, b, c, d) = (1, 1, 1, d), (1, 1, 2, d), (1, 1, 3, d), (1, 1, 4, d), (1, 1, 5, d).$$

When $(a, b, c, d) = (1, 1, 3, d)$, taking $n = 8$, we have

$$(a, b, c, d) = (1, 1, 3, 3), (1, 1, 3, 4), (1, 1, 3, 5), (1, 1, 3, 6), (1, 1, 3, 7), (1, 1, 3, 8).$$

We thus have obtained the necessary conditions. The sufficiency follows from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (1). □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

4.1 Preliminary results

We first note that for positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sharp\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | n = x^2 + xy + y^2\} = 6(d_{1,3}(n) - d_{2,3}(n)). \tag{4.1}$$

For the proof of this formula, see Berndt [3, pp.79]. The formula (4.1) implies that $n = 2, 5, 6, 8$ cannot be expressed by $x^2 + xy + y^2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We next note that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $x^2 + 3y^2 + t_z$ with $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{N}_0$, which was proved by Sun et al. [9].

We last note the following formula of Cooper et al. [1]:

$$a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3) + 4q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\varphi(q) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2},\ \psi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2},\ a(q) = \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2+mn+n^2}.$$

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. Considering $n = 1$, we have $a = 1$ or $c = 1$. Taking $n = 2$, we obtain

$$(a, c) = (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1).$$

The choice $n = 4$ shows that $(a, c) \neq (1, 2)$. Taking $n = 8$ implies that $(a, c) \neq (2, 1)$.

By equation (4.2), we have

$$\psi(q)a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3)\psi(q) + 4q\psi(q)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^1(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{1,3\text{-}1}(n)q^n + 4\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,2,6}(N)q^{N+1}.$$

From the result of Sun et al. [9], it follows that $m_{1,3\text{-}1}(n) > 0$. Therefore, we see that $B_1^1(n) > 0$, which means that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $t_x + (y^2 + yz + z^2)$ with $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$. We thus proved Theorem 1.3 (1).

Theorem 1.3 (2) follows from the above discussion. □

5 Applications of Theorem 1.2

By Theorem 1.2, we can prove the following theorems. Especially, for the proof of Theorem 5.1, we use the fact that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3(t_z + t_w)$ with $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq b \leq 8$. Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can then be expressed by $2t_x + bt_y + (z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

Theorem 5.2. *Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq b \leq 4$. Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can then be expressed by $t_x + bt_y + 2(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

Theorem 5.3. *Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $t_x+2t_y+3(z^2+zw+w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

Theorem 5.4. *Let $b = 1, 2$. Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can then be expressed by $t_x+bt_y+4(z^2+zw+w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

Theorem 5.5. *Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $t_x+t_y+5(z^2+zw+w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

5.1 Proof of Theorem 5.1

5.1.1 Preliminary results

We use the following result of Dickson [5, pp. 112-113]:

Lemma 5.6. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 4y^2 + 12z^2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l + 2,\ 4l + 3,\ 9^k(9l + 6),\ (k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.*

By Lemma 5.6, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 5.7. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 4(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l + 2,\ 4l + 3,\ 9^k(9l + 6),\ (k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.*

Proof. Replacing q by q^4 in equations (2.6) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(q^4) =& a(q^{16}) + 6q^4\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}), \\ \varphi(q^4)\varphi(q^{12}) =& a(q^{16}) + 2q^4\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of these equations by $\varphi(q)$, we have

$$\varphi(q)a(q^4) = \varphi(q)a(q^{16}) + 6q^4\varphi(q)\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^4)\varphi(q^{12}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{16}) + 2q^4\varphi(q)\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}). \tag{5.2}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_4^1(n)q^n =& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{16}^1(n)q^n + 6q^4 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1\text{-}8,24}(N)q^N, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{1,4,12}(n)q^n =& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{16}^1(n)q^n + 2q^4 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1\text{-}8,24}(N)q^N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n \neq 4l+2,\ 4l+3,\ 9^k(9l+6),\ (k,l \in \mathbb{N}_0) &\Longleftrightarrow r_{1,4,12}(n) > 0 \\ &\Longleftrightarrow A_{16}^1(n) > 0 \,\text{or}\, m_{1\text{-}8,24}(n-4) > 0, \\ &\Longleftrightarrow A_4^1(n) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the proposition. □

By Proposition 5.7, we can prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.8. *$N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $2t_x + (y^2+yz+z^2)$ with $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if either of the following occurs:*

(1) $N \not\equiv 2, 8 \mod 9$,

(2) $N \equiv 2 \mod 9$ *and* $4N+1 = 9^k(4N'+1)$, $N' \not\equiv 2, 8 \mod 9$, $k \in \mathbb{N}, N' \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.1) by $a(q^4)$, we have

$$\varphi(q)a(q^4) = \varphi(q^4)a(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^8)a(q^4),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_4^1(n)q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A_1^1(N)q^{4N} + 2\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_1^2(N)q^{4N+1}.$$

We then obtain

$$B_1^2(N) > 0 \Longleftrightarrow A_4^1(4N+1) > 0.$$

Therefore, the proposition follows from Proposition 5.7 and the fact that

$$4N+1 \equiv 0 \mod 9 \Longleftrightarrow N \equiv 2 \mod 9, \text{ and } 4N+1 \equiv 6 \mod 9 \Longleftrightarrow N \equiv 8 \mod 9.$$

□

5.1.2 Proof of Theorem 5.1 for $b \neq 3, 6$

Proof. By Proposition 5.8, we have only to prove that $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $2t_x + bt_y + (z^2+zw+w^2)$ with $(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $N \equiv 2, 8 \mod 9$.

Suppose that $b = 2$. When $N \equiv 2 \mod 9$, taking $y = 2$, we have $N - 2 \cdot 3 \equiv 5 \mod 9$, which implies that $B_1^2(N - 2 \cdot t_2) > 0$. When $N \equiv 8 \mod 9$, taking $y = 1$, we obtain $N - 2 \cdot 1 \equiv 6 \mod 9$, which implies that $B_1^2(N - 2 \cdot t_1) > 0$.

Suppose that $b = 4, 5, 7$. Taking $y = 1$, we have $N - b \cdot 1 \not\equiv 2, 8 \mod 9$, which implies that $B_1^2(N - b \cdot t_1) > 0$.

Suppose that $b = 8$. When $N \equiv 2 \bmod 9$, taking $y = 1$, we have $N - 8 \cdot 1 \equiv 3 \bmod 9$, which implies that $B_1^2(N - 8 \cdot t_1) > 0$. When $N = 8, 17, 26, 35, 44$, we take

$$(x, y, z, w) = (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 3, 0), (1, 1, 4, 0), (0, 1, 3, 3), (0, 1, 6, 0).$$

When $N \equiv 8 \bmod 9$ and $N > 44$, taking $y = 3$, we obtain $N - 8 \cdot 6 \equiv 5 \bmod 9$, which implies that $B_1^2(N - 8 \cdot t_3) > 0$. □

5.1.3 Proof of Theorem 5.1 for $b = 3$

Proof. By Proposition 5.8, we have only to prove that $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $2t_x + bt_y + (z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $N \equiv 2, 8 \bmod 9$.

We first treat the case where $N \equiv 2 \bmod 9$. By Proposition 5.8, we may assume that $4N + 1 = 9^k(4N' + 1)$, in which $k \in \mathbb{N}, N' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $N' \equiv 8 \bmod 9$. Taking $y = 2$, we have

$$N - 3 \cdot t_2 = N - 3 \cdot 3 \equiv 2 \bmod 9.$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4(N - 3 \cdot t_2) + 1 =& 4(N - 3 \cdot 3) + 1 \\ =& 4N + 1 - 36 \\ =& 9^k(4N' + 1) - 36 \\ =& 9\left\{4\left(9^{k-1}N' + \frac{9^{k-1} - 1}{4} - 1\right) + 1\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

When $k = 1$, we have

$$9^{k-1}N' + \frac{9^{k-1} - 1}{4} - 1 \equiv 8 - 1 \equiv 7 \bmod 9,$$

which implies that $B_1^2(N - 3 \cdot t_2) > 0$.

When $k \geq 2$, we obtain

$$9^{k-1}N' + \frac{9^{k-1} - 1}{4} - 1 \equiv 2 - 1 \equiv 1 \bmod 9,$$

which implies that $B_1^2(N - 3 \cdot t_2) > 0$. □

5.1.4 Proof of Theorem 5.1 for $b = 6$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.5) by $\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)$, we have

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6) + 4q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2.$$

By equation (2.3), we obtain

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \psi(q)^2\psi(q^3)^2 + 4q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^{2,6}(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(n)q^n + 4\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(N)q^{2N+1}. \tag{5.3}$$

Theorem 1.2 shows that $t_{1,1,3,3}(n) > 0$, which proves Theorem 5.1 for $b = 6$. □

5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.2

5.2.1 Preliminary results

We use the following result of Dickson [5, pp.112]:

Lemma 5.9. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 16y^2 + 48z^2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l+2, 4l+3, 8l+5, 16l+8, 16l+12, 9^k(9l+6),\ (k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.*

Proposition 5.10. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 16(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l+2, 4l+3, 8l+5, 16l+8, 16l+12, 9^k(9l+6),\ (k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.*

Proof. Replacing q by q^{16} in equations (2.6) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(q^{16}) =& a(q^{64}) + 6q^{16}\psi(q^{32})\psi(q^{96}), \\ \varphi(q^{16})\varphi(q^{48}) =& a(q^{64}) + 2q^{16}\psi(q^{32})\psi(q^{96}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of these equations by $\varphi(q)$, we have

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{16}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{64}) + 6q^{16}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{32})\psi(q^{96}), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^{16})\varphi(q^{48}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{64}) + 2q^{16}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{32})\psi(q^{96}), \tag{5.5}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{16}^1(n)q^n =& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{64}^1(n)q^n + 6q^{16}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1\text{-}32,96}(N)q^N, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{1,16,48}(n)q^n =& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{64}^1(n)q^n + 2q^{16}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1\text{-}32,96}(N)q^N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,16,48}(n) > 0 &\iff A_{64}^1(n) > 0 \,\text{or}\, m_{\text{1-32,96}}(n-4) > 0, \\ &\iff A_{16}^1(n) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the proposition. □

Proposition 5.11. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + 2(y^2+yz+z^2)$ with $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if either of the following occurs:*

(1) $N \not\equiv 1, 4 \mod 9$,

(2) $N \equiv 1 \mod 9$ *and* $8N+1 = 9^k(8N'+1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $N' \in \mathbb{N}_0, N' \not\equiv 1, 4 \mod 9$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.1) by $a(q^{16})$, we have

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{16}) = \varphi(q^4)a(q^{16}) + 2q\psi(q^8)a(q^{16}),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{16}^1(n)q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A_4^1(N)q^{4N} + 2\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_2^1(N)q^{8N+1}.$$

We then obtain

$$B_2^1(N) > 0 \iff A_{16}^1(8N+1) > 0.$$

Therefore, the proposition follows from Proposition 5.10 and the fact that

$$8N+1 \equiv 0 \mod 9 \iff N \equiv 1 \mod 9, \text{ and } 8N+1 \equiv 6 \mod 9 \iff N \equiv 4 \mod 9.$$

□

5.2.2 Proof of Theorem 5.2 for $b \neq 3$

Proof. By Proposition 5.11, we have only to prove that if $N \equiv 1, 4 \mod 9$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $t_x + bt_y + 2(z^2+zw+w^2)$ with $(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$. We may then assume that $N \equiv 1, 4 \mod 9$.

For $b = 1, 2, 4$, taking $y = 1$, we have $N - b \cdot t_1 \not\equiv 1, 4 \mod 9$, which implies that $B_2^1(N - b \cdot t_1) > 0$. □

5.2.3 Proof of Theorem 5.2 for $b = 3$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.6) by $\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)$, we have

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q^4) + 6q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^{2,6}(n)q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_2^{1,3}(N)q^{2N} + 6\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(N)q^{2N+1}. \tag{5.6}$$

Theorem 5.1 shows that $B_1^{2,6}(n) > 0$, which implies that $B_2^{1,3}(N) > 0$. □

5.3 Proof of Theorem 5.3

5.3.1 Preliminary results

We use the following result of Dickson [5, pp.113]:

Lemma 5.12. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 24y^2 + 72z^2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 3l+2, 4l+2, 4l+3, 9l+3, 4^k(8l+5),\ (k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.*

Proposition 5.13. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 24(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 3l+2, 4l+2, 4l+3, 9l+3, 4^k(8l+5),\ (k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.*

Proof. Replacing q by q^{24} in equations (2.6) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(q^{24}) =& a(q^{96}) + 6q^{24}\psi(q^{48})\psi(q^{144}), \\ \varphi(q^{24})\varphi(q^{72}) =& a(q^{96}) + 2q^{24}\psi(q^{48})\psi(q^{144}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of these equations by $\varphi(q)$, we have

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{24}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{96}) + 6q^{24}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{48})\psi(q^{144}), \tag{5.7}$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^{24})\varphi(q^{72}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{96}) + 2q^{24}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{48})\psi(q^{144}), \tag{5.8}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{24}^1(n)q^n =& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{96}^1(n)q^n + 6q^{24}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1\text{-}48,144}(N)q^N, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{1,24,72}(n)q^n =& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{96}^1(n)q^n + 2q^{24}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1\text{-}48,144}(N)q^N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,24,72}(n) > 0 &\Longleftrightarrow A^1_{96}(n) > 0 \,\text{or}\, m_{\text{1-48,144}}(n-24) > 0, \\ &\Longleftrightarrow A^1_{24}(n) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the proposition. □

By Proposition 5.13, we can prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.14. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + 3(y^2+yz+z^2)$ with $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if $n \not\equiv 2,5,7,8 \mod 9$.*

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.1) by $a(q^{24})$, we have

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{24}) = \varphi(q^4)a(q^{24}) + 2q\psi(q^8)a(q^{24}),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^1_{24}(n)q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A^1_6(N)q^{4N} + 2\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B^1_3(N)q^{8N+1}.$$

We then obtain

$$B^1_3(N) > 0 \Longleftrightarrow A^1_{24}(8N+1) > 0.$$

Therefore, the proposition follows from Proposition 5.13 and the fact that

$$8N+1 \equiv 2 \mod 3 \Longleftrightarrow N \equiv 2 \mod 3, \text{ and } 8N+1 \equiv 3 \mod 9 \Longleftrightarrow N \equiv 7 \mod 9.$$

□

5.3.2 Proof of Theorem 5.3

Proof. By Proposition 5.14, we have only to prove that $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $t_x + 2t_y + 3(z^2+zw+w^2)$ with $(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $N \equiv 2,5,7,8 \bmod 9$.

When $N \equiv 2,5,8 \mod 9$, taking $y = 1$, we have

$$N - 2 \cdot t_1 \equiv 0,3,6 \bmod 9,$$

which implies that $B^1_3(N - 2 \cdot t_1) > 0$.

When $N \equiv 7 \bmod 9$, taking $y = 2$, we obtain

$$N - 2 \cdot t_2 \equiv 1 \bmod 9,$$

which implies that $B^1_3(N - 2 \cdot t_2) > 0$. □

5.4 Proof of Theorem 5.4

5.4.1 Proof of Theorem 5.4 for $b = 1$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.3) by $a(q^4)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(q)^2 a(q^4) =& \varphi(q)\psi(q^2)a(q^4)\\ =& (\varphi(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^8))\psi(q^2)a(q^4), \text{ by equation (2.1)},\\ =& \varphi(q^4)\psi(q^2)a(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^8)a(q^4),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_4^{1,1}(n)q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} M_2^{2\text{-}1}(N)q^{2N} + 2\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_2^{1,4}(N)q^{2N+1}, \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$M_2^{2\text{-}1}(N) = \sharp\left\{(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid N = 2x^2 + t_y + 2(z^2 + zw + w^2)\right\}.$$

If n is odd and $n = 2N + 1$, by Theorem 5.2, we have

$$B_4^{1,1}(2N + 1) = 2B_2^{1,4}(N) > 0.$$

Let us treat the case in which n is even. By equation (5.9), we have

$$B_4^{1,1}(2N) = M_2^{2\text{-}1}(N).$$

If $N \not\equiv 1, 4 \bmod 9$, by Proposition 5.11, we obtain $M_2^{2\text{-}1}(N) > 0$. If $N \equiv 1, 4 \bmod 9$, taking $x = 1$, we have

$$N - 2x^2 \equiv 8, 2 \bmod 9 \Longrightarrow B_2^1(N - 2 \cdot 1^2) > 0 \Longrightarrow M_2^{2\text{-}1}(N) > 0.$$

□

5.4.2 Proof of Theorem 5.4 for $b = 2$

Proof. Replacing q by q^4 in equation (2.5), we have

$$a(q^4) = \varphi(q^4)\varphi(q^{12}) + 4q^4\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}).$$

Multiplying both sides of this equation by $\psi(q)\psi(q^2)$, we obtain

$$\psi(q)\psi(q^2)a(q^4) = \varphi(q^4)\varphi(q^{12})\psi(q)\psi(q^2) + 4q^4\psi(q)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_4^{1,2}(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{4,12\text{-}1,2}(n)q^n + 4q^4 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,2,8,24}(N)q^N,$$

where

$$m_{4,12\text{-}1,2}(n) = \sharp\left\{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{N}_0^2 \,|\, n = 4x^2 + 12y^2 + t_z + 2t_w\right\}.$$

From Sun et al. [9], we recall that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $4x^2 + 2t_y + t_z$ with $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0^2$, which implies that

$$m_{4,12\text{-}1,2}(n) > 0 \Longrightarrow B_4^{1,2}(n) > 0.$$

□

5.5 Proof of Theorem 5.5

5.5.1 Preliminary results

We use the following result of Dickson [5, pp.113]:

Lemma 5.15. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 40y^2 + 120z^2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l+2, 4l+3, 9^k(9l+6), 25^k(5l \pm 2), 4^k(8l+5),\ (k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.*

By Lemma 5.15, we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 5.16. *$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 40(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l+2, 4l+3, 9^k(9l+6), 25^k(5l \pm 2), 4^k(8l+5),\ (k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.*

Proof. Replacing q by q^{40} in equations (2.6) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(q^{40}) =& a(q^{160}) + 6q^{40}\psi(q^{80})\psi(q^{240}), \\ \varphi(q^{40})\varphi(q^{120}) =& a(q^{160}) + 2q^{40}\psi(q^{80})\psi(q^{240}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of these equations by $\varphi(q)$, we have

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{40}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{160}) + 6q^{40}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{80})\psi(q^{240}), \tag{5.10}$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^{40})\varphi(q^{120}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{160}) + 2q^{40}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{80})\psi(q^{240}), \tag{5.11}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{40}^1(n)q^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{160}^1(n)q^n + 6q^{40} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1\text{-}80,240}(N)q^N, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{1,40,120}(n)q^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{160}^1(n)q^n + 2q^{40} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1\text{-}80,240}(N)q^N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,40,120}(n) > 0 &\Longleftrightarrow A^1_{160}(n) > 0 \,\text{or}, m_{1\text{-}80,240}(n-40) > 0, \\ &\Longleftrightarrow A^1_{40}(n) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the proposition. □

By Proposition 5.13, we can prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.17. *$N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + 5(y^2+yz+z^2)$ with $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if $8N+1 \neq 9^k(9l+6), 25^k(5l \pm 2)$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Especially, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + 5(y^2+yz+z^2)$ with $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $N \not\equiv 1, 4 \bmod 9$, and $N \not\equiv 2, 4 \bmod 5$, and $N \not\equiv 3 \bmod 25$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.1) by $a(q^{40})$, we have

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{40}) = \varphi(q^4)a(q^{40}) + 2q\psi(q^8)a(q^{40}),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^1_{40}(n)q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A^1_{10}(N)q^{4N} + 2\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B^1_5(N)q^{8N+1}.$$

We then obtain

$$B^1_5(N) > 0 \Longleftrightarrow A^1_{40}(8N+1) > 0.$$

The second paragraph follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &8N+1 \equiv 0, 6 \bmod 9 \Longleftrightarrow N \equiv 1, 4 \bmod 9,\ 8N+1 \equiv \pm 2 \bmod 5 \Longleftrightarrow N \equiv 2, 4 \bmod 5, \\ &8N+1 \equiv 0 \bmod 25 \Longleftrightarrow N \equiv 3 \bmod 25. \end{aligned}$$

□

5.5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.5

Proof. By Proposition 5.17, we have only prove that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed by $t_x + t_y + 5(z^2+zw+w^2)$ with $(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $n \equiv 1, 4 \bmod 9$ or, if $n \equiv 2, 4 \bmod 5$ or, if $n \equiv 3 \bmod 25$.

We first suppose that $n \equiv 1 \bmod 9$ and $n = 9N+1$, $(N \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. If $N \not\equiv 1, 3 \bmod 5$ and $N \not\equiv 17 \bmod 25$, taking $x = 1$, we have

$$n - t_1 = 9N \equiv 0 \bmod 9,\ \not\equiv 2, 4 \bmod 5,\ \not\equiv 3 \bmod 25,$$

which implies that $n - t_1$ can be written as $t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

If $N \equiv 1 \bmod 5$, taking $x = 4$, we obtain

$$n - t_4 = 9N - 9 \equiv 0 \bmod 9, \equiv 0 \bmod 5,$$

which implies that $n - t_4$ can be written as $t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

If $N \equiv 3 \bmod 5$, taking $x = 2$, we have

$$n - t_2 = 9N - 2 \equiv 7 \bmod 9, \ \equiv 0 \bmod 5,$$

which implies that $n - t_2$ can be written as $t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

If $N \equiv 17 \bmod 25$, taking $x = 2$, we have

$$n - t_2 = 9N - 2 \equiv 7 \bmod 9, \ \equiv 1 \bmod 5,$$

which implies that $n - t_2$ can be written as $t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Let us suppose that $n \equiv 4 \bmod 9$ and $n = 9N + 4$, $(N \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. In the same way, we can prove that n can be written as $t_x + t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$. If $N \not\equiv 1, 4 \bmod 5$ and $N \not\equiv 0 \bmod 25$, we take $x = 1$. If $N \equiv 1 \bmod 5$, we take $x = 7$. If $N \equiv 4 \bmod 5$, we take $x = 4$. If $N \equiv 0 \bmod 25$, we take $x = 3$.

Let us suppose that $n \equiv 2 \bmod 5$ and $n = 5N + 2$, $(N \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. If $N \not\equiv 0, 6 \bmod 9$, we take $x = 1$. If $N \equiv 0, 6 \bmod 9$, we take $x = 3$.

Let us assume that $n \equiv 4 \bmod 5$ and $n = 5N + 4$, $(N \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. If $N \not\equiv 0, 6 \bmod 9$, we take $x = 3$. If $N \equiv 0, 6 \bmod 9$, we take $x = 7$.

We last suppose that $n \equiv 3 \bmod 25$ and $n = 25N + 3$, $(N \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. If $N \not\equiv 4, 7 \bmod 9$, we take $x = 2$. If $N \equiv 4, 7 \bmod 9$, we take $x = 4$. □

6 Proof of Theorem 1.4

6.1 Proof of necessary conditions

Proof. For fixed positive integers, a, b, c with $a \leq b$, suppose that every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $at_x + bt_y + c(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

We first assume that $c = 1$. Taking $n = 2$, we have $a = 1, 2$. If $a = 1$, by Theorem 1.3, we see that b is arbitrary. If $a = 2$, taking $n = 8$, we have $2 \leq b \leq 8$.

We suppose that $c = 2$. Taking $n = 1$, we have $a = 1$. The choice $n = 4$ implies that $1 \leq b \leq 4$.

We assume that $c = 3$. Taking $n = 1$, we have $a = 1$. The choice $n = 2$ shows that $b = 1, 2$. Taking $n = 8$ implies that $b = 2$.

We suppose that $c = 4$. Taking $n = 1$, we have $a = 1$. The choice $n = 2$ shows that $b = 1, 2$.

We assume that $c = 5$. Taking $n = 1$, we have $a = 1$. The choice $n = 2$ shows that $b = 1, 2$. Taking $n = 4$ implies that $b = 1$.

We suppose that $c \geq 6$. Taking $n = 1$, we have $a = 1$. The choice $n = 2$ shows that $b = 1, 2$. Taking $n = 4$ implies that $b = 1$. On the other hand, $n = 5$ cannot be expressed by $t_x + t_y + c(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$, which is a contradiction. □

6.2 Proof of sufficient conditions

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Theorems 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, and 5.5. We note that Theorem 1.4 (2) follows from the proof of necessary conditions. □

References

[1] N. D. Baruah, S. Cooper and M. Hirschhorn, *Sums of squares and sums of triangular numbers induced by partitions of 8.* Int. J. Number Theory **4**, 525-538 (2008).

[2] P. Barrucand, S. Cooper, and M. Hirschhorn, *Relations between squares and triangles,* Discrete Math. **248**, 245-247 (2002).

[3] B. C. Berndt, *Number theory in the spirit of Ramanujan,* Stud. Math. Libr. **34**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2006).

[4] A. Chandrashekar, S. Cooper and J. H. Han, *A general relation between sums of squares and sums of triangular numbers,* Int. J. Number Theory **1**, 175-182, (2005).

[5] L. E. Dickson, *Modern Elementary Theory of Numbers,* University of Chicago Press, Chicago, (1939).

[6] J. Liouville, *Théorèmes concemant les nombres triangulaires,* J. Math. Pures Appl. **7**, 407-408, (1862).

[7] J. Liouville, *Nouveaux théorèmes concemant les nombres triangulaires,* J. Math. Pures Appl. **8**, 73-84, (1863).

[8] K. Matsuda, *On certain quaternary quadratic forms,* to be submitted in Acta. Arith.

[9] S. Guo, H. Pan, and Z. W. Sun, *Mixed sums of squares and triangular numbers. II,* Integers **7** A56, 5 pp. (2007)

[10] K. S. Williams, $n = \Delta + \Delta + 2(\Delta + \Delta)$, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) **11** 233-240 (2003).